# Math 113(4) - Comments for HW2

#### Seewoo Lee

September 8, 2018

Some general comments:

- 1. Please use staplers or clips, not just fold the left-upper corner of papers!
- 2. Try to write well! maybe this will be harder than the first one...
- 3. If you can, try to use  $\mathbb{L}T_{E}X$ .
- 4. For questions that requires proofs, I almost not give any partial credits.

### Problem 1

2.5 points for each problem.

### Problem 2

This problem is wrong -  $\mathbb{C}$  and H aren't group with a multiplication, because of the existence of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $O \in H$ . However, if we exclude zeros from them, it become a group. So the correct statement of (b) should be that  $(\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\},\cdot)$ and  $(H\setminus\{O\},\cdot)$  are isomorphic. (Actually, both  $\mathbb{C}$  and H are *rings*, which have both addition and multiplication). Anyway, you have to show the followings:

- $\phi$  is injective and surjective, hence bijective. (This is trivial, but at least you have to mention it. 1 point.)
- For (a),  $\phi$  is an additive homomorphism:  $\phi(z+w) = \phi(zw)$ . (2 points)
- For (b),  $\phi$  is a multiplicative homomorphism:  $\phi(zw) = \phi(z)\phi(w)$ . (2 points)

#### Problem 3

- For a), you have to show that if  $a, b \neq 1$ , then  $a * b \neq 1$ . 1 point.
- For b), you have to check that \* is associative, 0 is an identity and -a/(a+1) is an inverse of  $a \in S$ . (1 point for each)
- For c), I give 0.5 point if there's a mistake with calculation.

## Problem 4

There are some strange arguments that pretend to use Pigeonhole principle, and I gave partial credits for them. If you didn't use finiteness of G at all, then it should be wrong.

### Problem 5

• For c), you have to check that  $\phi(G)$  is nonempty, closed under the operation, has an identity (which is  $\phi(e)$ ), and inverse is well-defined. 1 point each.